



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,010 | 03/02/2004 | Shunichi Kubo | 249463US2RD | 3564 |
| 22850 | 7590 06/16/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | LE, LANA N | |
| | A, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2618 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/790,010 | KUBO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| - | Lana N. Le | 2618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | h . | correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY 15 SET TO EXPINE 3 MICHATION. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| ·— | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 March 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 20, | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa- | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Glosed III accordance with the produce under Expand Queyre, 1915 C.E. 11, 1917 C.E. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| | 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 5) | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | cepted or b) objected to by the | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| 11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of Island, 19 102. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | a) (d) as (5) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | ı Fatent Application (F10-152) | | | | |
| . opo: //o/a/ | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 4, 5, and 11 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 3, 3-4, and 7 respectively. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4, 5 and 11 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. Claim 3 lacks antecedent basis from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 7, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024619).

Regarding claim 1, Hidekuni et al disclose a radio receiver unit (fig. 21) comprising:

receiving means (1-9, 9') for receiving RF (radio frequency) signals (figs. 21-22); a filter (42, 42') arranged in a stage succeeding said receiving means (1-9, 9') and is capable of being turned on or off (via 45, 45') (paragraphs 90-92);

Art Unit: 2618

estimating means (19) for estimating the magnitude of electric power of interference waves (adjacent channel power) contained in said RF signals and for producing an estimated value corresponding to the magnitude of said electric power (paras. 47, 92; figs. 3, 21); and

control means (switch control from 19 to switches 45, 45') for turning said filter off during a period in which said estimated value (adjacent channel power) is smaller than a threshold value (request channel power) (para. 47, 92).

Regarding claim 2, Hidekuni et al disclose a radio receiver unit (figs. 21-22) comprising:

receiving means (1-9, 9') for receiving RF signals (see figure 21);

a filter (filters 42, 42') arranged in a stage succeeding said receiving means and is capable of being turned on or off (paras. 90-92);

demodulating means (signal recovery means 12, 13, 14, 15) for demodulating first received signals of before passing through said filter or for demodulating second received signals after having passed through said filter (paras. 20, 90);

estimating means (channel detecting means 19) for estimating the magnitude of electric power of interference waves (power of adjacent channel interference) contained in said RF signals and for producing an estimated value (power ratio) corresponding to said magnitude (paras. 90-92); and

control means (19, 45, 45') for so controlling said filter (42, 42') as to be turned off during a period in which said estimated value (adjacent channel power) is smaller than a threshold value (request channel power).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 7, Hidekuni et al the radio receiver unit according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said receiving means has a function for receiving said RF signals corresponding to a receiving channel (request channel) selected out of a plurality of channels, and said estimating means (19) effects the estimation upon temporarily receiving RF signals corresponding to a second channel (adjacent channel) in a frequency band neighboring a first channel in which said desired waves exist among said plurality of channels (paras. 2, 92).

Regarding claim 9, Hidekuni et al disclose a radio receiver unit (figs. 21-22) in capable of changing the operation mode over to a normal power consumption mode or to a low power consumption mode which the consumption of electric power is small during the operation (power saving when the filter is determined to be not necessary; para. 92), comprising:

receiving means (1-9, 9') for receiving RF (radio frequency) signals that contain desired waves; a filter (42, 42') arranged in a stage succeeding said receiving means (1-9, 9') and is capable of being turned on or off (paras. 90-92); and control means for turning said filter off during said low power consumption mode (comb filter is not needed or turned off during power save mode) (para. 92).

Regarding claim 10, Hidekuni et al disclose a radio receiver unit capable of changing the operation mode over to a normal power consumption mode or to a low power consumption mode in which the consumption of electric power is small during the operation (power saving when the filter is determined to be not necessary; para. 92), comprising:

Art Unit: 2618

receiving means (1-9, 9') for receiving RF signals (figs. 21-22); a filter (42, 42') arranged in a stage succeeding said receiving means (1-9, 9'); demodulating means (signal recovery means 12-15) for demodulating first received signals of before passing through said filter (42, 42') or for demodulating second received signals after having passed through said filter (paras. 20, 90); and control means (19, 45, 45') for so controlling said filter (42, 42') as to be turned off during said low power consumption mode (turning off filter when there's no effect of adjacent channel interference; para.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024,619) in view of Takashi et al (JP 2001-016121).

Regarding claim 3, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to claim 1 or 2, wherein Hidekuni et al do not disclose the estimating means effects the estimation by comparing and judging the power values of said first received signals and

Art Unit: 2618

of said second received signals. Takashi et al disclose the estimating means effects the estimation by comparing and judging (via 4a, 4b, 5) the power values of said first received signals (12) before passing filter 3a and of said second received signals (6) after passing filter (3a) (see figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to compare the signal before and after filtering in order to detect if most of the interference has been filtered out or more filtering is needed.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024,619) in view of Muraoka (US 2003/0,095,511).

Regarding claim 4, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein Hidekuni et al do not disclose the estimating means effects the estimation periodically. Muraoka discloses wherein the estimating means (19) effects the estimation periodically (fig. 10; para. 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to periodically estimate the interference level in order to sporadically check the interference level and detect if the interference level is high.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024,619) in view of Palenius et al (US 6,373,878).

Regarding claim 5, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein Hidekuni et al do not disclose the estimating means effects the estimation during a period in which the receiving means is receiving RF signals without the desired waves. Palenius et al discloses wherein the estimating

Art Unit: 2618

means (19) effects the estimation during a period in which the receiving means is receiving RF signals without containing the desired waves (col 3, lines 52-63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to estimate the interference without the desired signals in order to calculate the amount of interference in a steady state condition without error.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024,619) in view of Jagger et al (US 6,807,405).

Regarding claim 6, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to claim 1 or 2, wherein Hidekuni et al do not disclose the control means turns said filter off when a period in which said estimated value is smaller than the threshold value continues for a predetermined period of time. Jagger et al disclose control means turns said filter off when a period in which said estimated value is smaller than the threshold value continues for a predetermined period of time (col 5, lines 3-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to turn off the filter after a predetermined period of time in order to assure that the interference level is low enough to not require the filter.

8. Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hidekuni et al (JP 2001-024,619) in view of Nago (US 5,974,101).

Regarding claim 8, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to claim 7, wherein Hidekuni et al disclose the estimating means effects the estimation prior to starting the communication or when the communication quality is deteriorated (para. 92). Hidekuni et al do not disclose the radio receiver unit is a wireless terminal

Art Unit: 2618

used in a wireless LAN system that includes a base station and the wireless terminal for effecting the communication with said base station. Nago discloses the radio receiver unit is a wireless terminal used in a wireless communication system that includes a base station and the wireless terminal for effecting the communication with said base station (col 19, lines 30-40; col 18, lines 12-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the radio receiver unit in a wireless terminal in order to prevent severe interference from causing a wireless connection to be dropped. Hidekuni et al and Nago do not disclose a wireless LAN system. However, it is well known and notoriously old in the art to implement the wireless communication system of Hidekuni et al and Nago in a wireless LAN system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a wireless LAN system in order to wirelessly connect the mobile and peripheral devices within a small area such as a building or campus.

Regarding claim 11, Hidekuni et al disclose the radio receiver unit according to any one of claims 1 to 7, 9 and 10, where Hidekuni et al do not disclose the radio receiver unit is a wireless terminal used in a wireless LAN system that includes a base station and the wireless terminal for effecting the communication with said base station. Nago discloses the radio receiver unit is a wireless terminal used in a wireless communication system that includes a base station and the wireless terminal for effecting the communication with said base station (col 19, lines 30-40; col 18, lines 12-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the radio receiver unit in a wireless terminal in order to

Application/Control Number: 10/790,010 Page 9

Art Unit: 2618

prevent severe interference from causing a wireless connection to be dropped.

Hidekuni et al and Nago do not disclose a wireless LAN system. However, it is well known and notoriously old in the art to implement the wireless communication system of Hidekuni et al and Nago in a wireless LAN system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a wireless LAN system in order to wirelessly connect the mobile and peripheral devices within a small area such as a building or campus.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lana N. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-7891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,010 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lana Le

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER